

AN INVERSE PROBLEM FOR NON-SELFADJOINT STURM-LIOUVILLE OPERATOR WITH DISCONTINUITY CONDITIONS INSIDE A FINITE INTERVAL

YIXUAN LIU, GUOLIANG SHI AND JUN YAN

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the inverse problem for non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity conditions inside a finite interval. Firstly, we give the definitions of generalized weight numbers for this operator which may have the multiple spectrum, and then investigate the connections between the generalized weight numbers and other spectral characteristics. Secondly, we obtain the generalized spectral data, which consists of the generalized weight numbers and the spectrum. Then the operator is determined uniquely by the method of spectral mappings. Finally, we give an algorithm for reconstructing the potential function and the coefficients of the boundary conditions and the coefficients of the discontinuity conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following non-selfadjoint boundary value problem $L = L(q(x), h, H, \beta, \gamma, d)$ for the equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \ell y := -y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y$$

on the interval $0 < x < \pi$ with the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad U(y) := y'(0) - hy(0) = 0, V(y) := y'(\pi) + Hy(\pi) = 0$$

and the discontinuity conditions

$$(1.3) \quad y(d+0) = \beta y(d-0), y'(d+0) = \beta^{-1}y'(d-0) + \gamma y(d-0)$$

at $d \in (0, \pi)$, where $q(x) \in L^2[0, \pi]$ is a complex-valued function, h, H, γ are complex numbers, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \neq 0$.

There has been extensive study of inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity conditions inside a finite interval since the discontinuities are connected with non-smooth material properties. The inverse problem for selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with different type discontinuity has been considered and solved by different methods in [8, 9, 16, 18, 19, 22–26]. [17, 21] studied the inverse spectral problem for discontinuous Sturm-Liouville operators with boundary conditions linearly dependent on the spectral parameter. The inverse problem for non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity inside an interval has been investigated in [12, 15] when the spectrum is simple.

Recently, many authors paid more attention on the inverse problem for the non-selfadjoint operator with multiple spectrum (see [1, 4, 5, 10, 14, 20] and the references therein). Especially, Buterin [5] considered the inverse problem for the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A55; Secondary 34B20, 34B24, 34L05.

Key words and phrases. non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operators; discontinuity conditions; method of spectral mappings; inverse problem; multiple spectrum.

boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) with an arbitrary behaviour of the spectrum and gave generalized weight numbers more naturally and proved that a multiple spectrum and the generalized weight numbers determine the potential function and boundary conditions uniquely. In this paper, we add the discontinuity conditions (1.3) at $d \in (0, \pi)$ to the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), and give the generalized weight numbers for discontinuous non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with multiple spectrum, and recovering this operator from its spectral characteristics by spectral mappings (see [27]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic definitions and useful properties are given. We devote Section 3 to give the useful definition of generalized spectral data. The connections between the generalized spectral data and other spectral characteristics are investigated in Section 4. In Section 5, by the method of spectral mappings, we prove that the given generalized spectral data uniquely determine the potential q and the coefficients h, H, β, γ , respectively, and then give an algorithm for reconstructing the operator $L(q(x), h, H, \beta, \gamma, d)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $y(x), z(x)$ be continuously differentiable functions on $[0, d]$ and $[d, \pi]$. Denote $\langle y(x), z(x) \rangle := y(x)z'(x) - y'(x)z(x)$. If $y(x)$ and $z(x)$ satisfy the discontinuity conditions (1.3), then

$$(2.1) \quad \langle y(x), z(x) \rangle_{x=d-0} = \langle y(x), z(x) \rangle_{x=d+0},$$

Let $\varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)$ be solutions of equation (1.1) satisfying the discontinuity conditions (1.3) and the initial conditions

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(0, \lambda) = \psi(\pi, \lambda) = 1, \varphi'(0, \lambda) = h, \psi'(\pi, \lambda) = -H,$$

respectively. Then $U(\varphi) = V(\psi) = 0$. Denote $\Delta(\lambda) := \langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda) \rangle$, then $\Delta(\lambda)$ is independent of x . From (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta(\lambda) = -V(\varphi) = U(\psi).$$

In the following, we give three powerful and important lemmas, the rigorous proof of these lemmas which can be referred to [2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 25] and no proof will be given here.

Lemma 1. *The zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues $\lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ of L . $\varphi(x, \lambda_n)$ and $\psi(x, \lambda_n)$ are corresponding eigenfunctions of L .*

Proof. See [5, p.740] and [12, p.3]. □

Lemma 2. *Let $\rho = \sqrt{\lambda}, \tau = \text{Im}\rho$. For $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos \rho x + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\tau|x)\right), & x < d, \\ (b_1 \cos \rho x + b_2 \cos \rho(2d - x)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\tau|x)\right), & x > d, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi'(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -\rho \sin \rho x + O(\exp(|\tau|x)), & x < d, \\ \rho(-b_1 \sin \rho x + b_2 \sin \rho(2d - x)) + O(\exp(|\tau|x)), & x > d, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \psi(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} b_1 \cos \rho(\pi - x) - b_2 \cos \rho(\pi + x - 2d) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\tau|(\pi - x))\right), & x < d, \\ \cos \rho(\pi - x) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \exp(|\tau|(\pi - x))\right), & x > d, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \psi'(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \rho(b_1 \sin \rho(\pi - x) + b_2 \sin \rho(\pi + x - 2d)) + O(\exp(|\tau|(\pi - x))), & x < d, \\ \rho \sin \rho(\pi - x) + O(\exp(|\tau|(\pi - x))), & x > d, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \rho(b_1 \sin \rho\pi - b_2 \sin \rho(2d - \pi)) + O(\exp(|\tau|\pi)),$$

where $b_1 = \frac{\beta + \beta^{-1}}{2}$, $b_2 = \frac{\beta - \beta^{-1}}{2}$.

In particular, for $j = 0, 1$, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) = O(|\rho|^{(j)} \exp(|\tau|x)),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \psi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) = O(|\rho|^{(j)} \exp(|\tau|(\pi - x))).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the selfadjoint case, see [25, p.145-146]. \square

Lemma 3. The roots $\lambda_n^1 = (\rho_n^1)^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of

$$\Delta^1(\lambda) := \rho(b_1 \sin \rho\pi - b_2 \sin \rho(2d - \pi))$$

are separated. For fixed δ and sufficiently large $|\lambda|$,

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta(\lambda) \geq C_\delta |\rho| \exp(|\tau|\pi), \quad \lambda \in G_\delta,$$

where $G_\delta = \{\lambda = \rho^2 : |\rho - \rho_n^1| \geq \delta\}$. By Rouché theorem, we have

$$\rho_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n} = \rho_n^1 + \frac{\theta_n}{\rho_n^1} + \frac{\kappa_n}{\rho_n^1},$$

so

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \varpi(\lambda - \lambda_0^1) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n^1},$$

where $\varpi = \pi b_1 - (2d - \pi)b_2$, $\kappa_n \in l_2$, and θ_n is a bounded sequence

$$\theta_n = (a_1 \cos \rho_n^1 \pi + a_2 \cos \rho_n^1 (2d - \pi)) \left(2 \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Delta^1(\lambda_n^1)\right)^{-1},$$

$$a_1 = b_1 \left(h + H + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi q(t) dt \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

$$a_2 = b_2 \left(H - h + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi q(t) dt - \int_0^d q(t) dt \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

Proof. The proof can be refer to [25, p.146] and [2, Lemma 3]. \square

3. THE GENERALIZED SPECTRAL DATA

The algebraic multiplicity m_n of the eigenvalue λ_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is the order of it as a root of $\Delta(\lambda) = 0$, i.e. $\lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1} = \dots = \lambda_{n+m_n-1}$. In throughout the paper, we use multiplicity instead of algebraic multiplicity for short. By the virtue of Lemma 3, for sufficient large n , $m_n = 1$.

Let $S = \{n | n = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \neq \lambda_n\} \cup \{0\}$, $\varphi_\eta(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\eta!} \frac{d^\eta}{d\lambda^\eta} \varphi(x, \lambda)$, $\psi_\eta(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\eta!} \frac{d^\eta}{d\lambda^\eta} \psi(x, \lambda)$. For $\eta = 1, 2, \dots, m_n - 1$, $n \in S$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \ell\varphi_\eta(x, \lambda_n) = \lambda_n\varphi_\eta(x, \lambda_n) + \varphi_{\eta-1}(x, \lambda_n), \\ \varphi_\eta(d+0, \lambda_n) = \beta\varphi_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n), \\ \varphi'_\eta(d+0, \lambda_n) = \beta^{-1}\varphi'_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n) + \gamma\varphi_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n), \\ \varphi_\eta(0, \lambda_n) = \varphi'_\eta(0, \lambda_n) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \ell\psi_\eta(x, \lambda_n) = \lambda_n\psi_\eta(x, \lambda_n) + \psi_{\eta-1}(x, \lambda_n), \\ \psi_\eta(d+0, \lambda_n) = \beta\psi_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n), \\ \psi'_\eta(d+0, \lambda_n) = \beta^{-1}\psi'_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n) + \gamma\psi_\eta(d-0, \lambda_n), \\ \psi_\eta(\pi, \lambda_n) = \psi'_\eta(\pi, \lambda_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

From (2.3), we infer that

$$\frac{1}{\eta!} \Delta^{(\eta)}(\lambda_n) = -V(\varphi_\eta(x, \lambda_n)) = U(\psi_\eta(x, \lambda_n)) = 0, \quad n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1,$$

i.e., $\varphi_\eta(x, \lambda_n)$ and $\psi_\eta(x, \lambda_n)$, $n \in S$, $\eta = 1, 2, \dots, m_n - 1$, are generalized eigenfunctions of L . Let

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{n+\eta}(x) &= \varphi_\eta(x, \lambda_n), \quad \psi_{n+\eta}(x) = \psi_\eta(x, \lambda_n), \\ \Delta_{\eta,n} &:= \frac{1}{\eta!} \Delta^{(\eta)}(\lambda_n), \quad n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are complete systems of eigenfunctions and generalized eigenfunctions of L (refer to [13, Theorem 1.3.2]). Naturally, we can define the generalized weight numbers α_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for L by the following equations:

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha_{n+\eta} = \int_0^\pi \varphi_{n+\eta}(x) \varphi_{n+m_n-1}(x) dx, \quad n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1.$$

When the multiplicity $m_n = 1$, the generalized weight numbers α_n coincide with the weight numbers for the selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity conditions inside a finite interval (see [25, p.143 (10)]).

Definition 1. *The numbers $\{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are called the generalized spectral data of L .*

4. THE WEYL FUNCTION

Denote by $S(x, \lambda)$, $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ the solutions of equation (1.1) under the conditions

$$S'(0, \lambda) = U(\Phi) = 1, \quad S(0, \lambda) = V(\Phi) = 0,$$

and the discontinuity conditions (1.3). The functions $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ and $M(\lambda) := \Phi(0, \lambda)$ are called the Weyl solution and the Weyl function for L , respectively. Evidently,

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\psi(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = S(x, \lambda) + M(\lambda) \varphi(x, \lambda),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \Phi(x, \lambda) \rangle \equiv 1,$$

$$(4.3) \quad M(\lambda) = \frac{\Delta^0(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}, \quad \Delta^0(\lambda) := \psi(0, \lambda).$$

The symbol $\Delta^0(\lambda)$ denotes the characteristic function of the boundary value problem consisting of the equation (1.1), the discontinuity conditions (1.3) and the boundary conditions $y(0) = V(y) = 0$. The zeros of $\Delta^0(\lambda)$ are expressed in terms of $\{\lambda_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, it is easy to show that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cap \{\lambda_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \emptyset$. Then $M(\lambda)$ is a meromorphic function with zeros in λ_n^0 and poles in λ_n .

Next, we prove that the generalized spectral data determine the Weyl function uniquely by the following theorem. This is a generalization of corresponding result of non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator without discontinuities (see [5, p.741 (9)]).

Theorem 1. *The Weyl function and the generalized spectral data of L satisfy the following equalities:*

$$(4.4) \quad M(\lambda) = \sum_{n \in S} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_n-1} \frac{M_{n+\eta}}{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{\eta+1}},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\eta} \alpha_{n+\eta-k} M_{n+m_n-k-1} = \delta_{\eta,0}, \quad n \in S, \quad \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1,$$

where $\delta_{\eta,0}$ is Kronecker delta.

Proof. Firstly, considering the contour integral

$$I_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{M(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu, \quad \lambda \in \text{int } \Gamma_N,$$

where $\Gamma_N := \left\{ \lambda : |\lambda| = R_N^2, R_N := |\rho_N^1| + \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\rho_M^1 \neq \rho_N^1} |\rho_M^1 - \rho_N^1| \right\}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, is assumed to be counterclockwise. By the virtue of Lemma 3, it yields $\Gamma_N \subset G_\delta$ for sufficiently small fixed $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large N . The formulae (2.9), (2.10), (4.3) yield

$$|M(\lambda)| \leq C |\rho|^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in G_\delta$$

for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$. Hence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I_N(\lambda) = 0$. By using the residue theorem (see [6, V. §2.]) we calculate

$$I_N(\lambda) = -M(\lambda) + \sum_{n \in S, \lambda_n \in \text{int } \Gamma_N} \text{Res}_{\mu=\lambda_n} \frac{M(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad \lambda \in \text{int } \Gamma_N \setminus \{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Thus

$$(4.6) \quad M(\lambda) = \sum_{n \in S} \text{Res}_{\mu=\lambda_n} \frac{M(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}.$$

Set $\text{Res}_{\mu=\lambda_n} \frac{M(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} =: \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_n-1} \frac{M_{n+\eta}}{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{\eta+1}}$, and in light of (4.6) we get (4.4).

Secondly, let us prove that coefficients M_n and the generalized weight numbers α_n determine each other uniquely by the formula (4.5). On account of (4.3) we have $M(\lambda) \Delta(\lambda) = \psi(0, \lambda)$, together with the identity (4.4) we find

$$(4.7) \quad \left(\sum_{n \in S} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_n-1} \frac{M_{n+\eta}}{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^{\eta+1}} \right) \Delta(\lambda) = \psi(0, \lambda).$$

Since λ_n , $n \in S$, are the zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ with the multiplicity m_n , the Taylor series of $\Delta(\lambda)$ at λ_n , $n \in S$, is $\sum_{p=m_n}^{\infty} \Delta_{p,n}(\lambda - \lambda_n)^p$. If we plug it back to (4.7) and let λ approaches λ_n , then $\psi_n(0) = M_{n+m_n-1} \Delta_{m_n,n}$. The proof of

$$(4.8) \quad \psi_{n+\eta}(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\eta} M_{n+m_n-k-1} \Delta_{m_n+\eta-k,n}, n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1$$

follows in a similar manner. From (4.1), we get $\psi_n(x) = \psi_n(0) \varphi_n(x)$, $n \in S$. Owing to (3.1)-(3.3), an easy induction gives

$$(4.9) \quad \psi_{n+\eta}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\eta} \psi_{n+j}(0) \varphi_{n+\eta-j}(x), n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1.$$

Moreover, since $\varphi(x, \lambda)$, $\psi(x, \mu)$ are solutions of equation (1.1) and satisfy the discontinuity conditions (1.3), from (2.1) we know the function $\langle y(x), z(x) \rangle$ is continuous on $x \in [0, \pi]$, hence

$$\frac{d}{dx} \langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \mu) \rangle = (\lambda - \mu) \varphi(x, \lambda) \psi(x, \mu).$$

By the initial conditions (2.2) and equality (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} = \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(x, \lambda) \psi(x, \mu) dx.$$

Hence $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \Delta(\lambda) = \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(x, \lambda) \psi(x, \lambda) dx$. A simple manipulation leads to the solution that

$$\Delta_{m_n+\eta,n} = \frac{1}{m_n + \eta} \sum_{j=0}^{m_n+\eta-1} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_{m_n+\eta-1-j}(x, \lambda_n) \psi_j(x, \lambda_n) dx, \eta \geq 0.$$

Using (3.1), (3.2) and integrating by parts we get

$$(4.10) \quad \Delta_{m_n+\eta,n} = \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_{n+m_n-1}(x) \psi_{n+\eta}(x) dx, n \in S, \eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1.$$

By substituting (4.9) in (4.10) and taking the definition of generalized weight numbers α_n (3.4) into account, we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \Delta_{m_n+\eta,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{\eta} \alpha_{n+\eta-j} \psi_{n+j}(x).$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.8) we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\eta} \psi_{n+\eta-j}(0) \sum_{k=0}^j \alpha_{n+j-k} M_{n+m_n-k-1} = \psi_{n+\eta}(0).$$

Since $\psi_n(0) \neq 0$, $n \in S$, continuing by induction we finally obtain the relation (4.5). \square

5. THE INVERSE PROBLEM

Inverse Problem 1. *Recovering the operator L from one of the following conditions: (i) the generalized spectral data $\{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; (ii) the two spectra $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\lambda_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; (iii) the Weyl function $M(\lambda)$.*

Remark 1. *According to Lemma 3, we know the spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniquely determines the characteristic function $\Delta(\lambda)$. Similarly, the characteristic function $\Delta^0(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its zeros $\{\lambda_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Combining (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we see that the statements (i)-(iii) of Inverse Problems 1 are equivalent. The numbers $\{\lambda_n, M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can also be used as spectral data.*

5.1. The uniqueness theorem. Before giving the uniqueness theorem, we introduce some symbols initially. We agree that L, \tilde{L} denote the operators of the same form but with different coefficients $\tilde{q}(x), \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{d}$. That is to say if a certain symbol ξ represents an object related to L , then $\tilde{\xi}$ will denote the analogous object related to \tilde{L} , and $\hat{\xi} := \xi - \tilde{\xi}$.

Theorem 2. *(The uniqueness theorem) If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n, \alpha_n = \tilde{\alpha}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L = \tilde{L}$, i.e. $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $(0, \pi)$, $h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}, \beta = \tilde{\beta}, \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$ and $d = \tilde{d}$.*

Proof. Because of Theorem 1, we know the generalized spectral data $\{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniquely determines the Weyl function $M(\lambda)$. It suffices to prove that if $M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)$, then $L = \tilde{L}$. It follows from (2.9), (2.10) and (4.1) that

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \Phi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{j-1} \exp(-|\tau|x), \quad j = 0, 1, \lambda \in G_\delta.$$

Define the matrix $P(x, \lambda) = [P_{jk}(x, \lambda)]_{j,k=1,2}$ by the following formula

$$P(x, \lambda) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) & \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda) \\ \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda) & \tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x, \lambda) & \Phi(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi'(x, \lambda) & \Phi'(x, \lambda) \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(x, \lambda) = P_{11}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda), \\ \Phi(x, \lambda) = P_{11}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda). \end{cases}$$

Formula (4.2) yields

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} P_{j,1}(x, \lambda) = \varphi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda) - \Phi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda), \\ P_{j,2}(x, \lambda) = \Phi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) - \varphi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda) \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda). \end{cases}$$

Combining (4.1) and (5.3) we see that

$$P_{11}(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{S}'(x, \lambda) - S(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda) + \left(\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda) \right) \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda),$$

$$P_{12}(x, \lambda) = S(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) - \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{S}(x, \lambda) + \left(M(\lambda) - \tilde{M}(\lambda) \right) \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda).$$

Owing to (4.1) and (5.3), for each fixed x , the functions $P_{jk}(x, \lambda)$ are meromorphic functions in λ . Put $G_\delta^0 = G_\delta \cap \tilde{G}_\delta$. According to (2.8), (5.1) and (5.3), we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad |P_{12}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{-1}, \quad |P_{11}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta, \quad \lambda \in G_\delta^0.$$

By (4.1) and (5.3), we see that if $M(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{M}(\lambda)$, then for each fixed x , the functions $P_{1k}(x, \lambda)$ are entire in λ . Combining with (5.4), we derive $P_{11}(x, \lambda) \equiv C(x)$, $P_{12}(x, \lambda) \equiv 0$. Taking (5.2) into consideration, we get

$$(5.5) \quad \varphi(x, \lambda) \equiv C(x) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$$

for all x and λ . Together with (2.4), we see that for $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$, $\arg \rho \in [\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \frac{b}{2} \exp(-i\rho x) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\right),$$

where $b = 1$ for $x < d$, and $b = b_1$ for $x > d$. Combining (4.2) and (5.5) this yields $b_1 = \tilde{b}_1$, $C(x) \equiv 1$, i.e. $\varphi(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$ for all x and λ and consequently $L = \tilde{L}$. \square

5.2. Solution of the inverse problem. Without loss of generality, we consider the inverse problem of recovering L from the generalized spectral data $\{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Like [25, p.153 (60)], choose an arbitrary model boundary value problem $\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}(\tilde{q}(x), \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{d})$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad d = \tilde{d}, \quad \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varsigma_n |\rho_n|)^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varsigma_n < \infty,$$

where $\varsigma_n := |\rho_n - \tilde{\rho}_n| + |\alpha_n - \tilde{\alpha}_n|$. Set $\lambda_{n,0} := \lambda_n$, $\lambda_{n,1} := \tilde{\lambda}_n$, $M_{n,0} := M_n$, $M_{n,1} := \tilde{M}_n$, $\varphi_{n,i}(x) := \varphi(x, \lambda_{n,i})$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{n,i}(x) := \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda_{n,i})$, $S_0 := S$, $S_1 := \tilde{S}$, $m_{n,0} := m_n$, $m_{n,1} := \tilde{m}_n$,

$$D(x, \lambda, \mu) := \frac{\langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \mu) \rangle}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad D_{\eta, \nu}(x, \lambda, \mu) := \frac{1}{\eta! \nu!} \frac{\partial^{\eta+\nu}}{\partial \lambda^\eta \partial \mu^\nu} D(x, \lambda, \mu).$$

For $i, j = 0, 1$, $n \in S_i$, denote

$$A_{n+\eta, i}(x, \lambda) := \sum_{p=\eta}^{m_{n,i}-1} M_{n+p, i} D_{0, p-\eta}(x, \lambda, \lambda_{n,i}),$$

$$Q_{n+\eta, i; k, j}(x) := \frac{1}{\eta!} \frac{\partial^\eta}{\partial \lambda^\eta} A_{k, j}(x, \lambda) |_{\lambda=\lambda_{n,i}},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta = 0, 1, \dots, m_{n,i} - 1$. Similarly, by replacing φ with $\tilde{\varphi}$ in the above definitions we define $\tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $\tilde{D}_{\eta, \nu}(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $\tilde{A}_{n,i}(x, \lambda)$, $\tilde{Q}_{n,i; k, j}(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j = 0, 1$. Using the fact that $\langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \mu) \rangle$ is continuous on $x \in [0, \pi]$, $D(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $D_{\eta, \nu}(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $A_{n,i}(x, \lambda)$, $Q_{n,i; k, j}(x)$, $\tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $\tilde{D}_{\eta, \nu}(x, \lambda, \mu)$, $\tilde{A}_{n,i}(x, \lambda)$, $\tilde{Q}_{n,i; k, j}(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j = 0, 1$ are continuous functions of $x \in [0, \pi]$.

By the same methods as in [25, p.153-156], using Lemma 2, Lemma 3, (2.1), (3.4), (4.5) and Schwarz's lemma [6, VI. §2.], we get the following estimates as $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j = 0, 1$:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{cases} |\varphi_{n,i}(x)| \leq C, |\varphi_{n,0}(x) - \varphi_{n,1}(x)| \leq C\varsigma_n, |Q_{n,i; k, j}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}, \\ |Q_{n,i; k, 0}(x) - Q_{n,i; k, 1}(x)| \leq \frac{C\varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}, \\ |Q_{n,0; k, j}(x) - Q_{n,1; k, j}(x)| \leq \frac{C\varsigma_n}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}, \\ |Q_{n,0; k, 0}(x) - Q_{n,1; k, 0}(x) - Q_{n,0; k, 1}(x) + Q_{n,1; k, 1}(x)| \leq \frac{C\varsigma_n \varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}, \end{cases}$$

The similar estimates are also valid for $\tilde{\varphi}_{n,i}(x)$, $\tilde{Q}_{n,i; k, j}(x)$.

Lemma 4. *The following representations hold:*

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{n,i}(x) = \varphi_{n,i}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{Q}_{n,i; k, 0}(x) \varphi_{k,0}(x) - \tilde{Q}_{n,i; k, 1}(x) \varphi_{k,1}(x) \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i, j = 0, 1,$$

(5.9)

$$\tilde{Q}_{n,i;k,j}(x) - Q_{n,i;k,j}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{Q}_{n,i;l,0}(x) Q_{l,0;k,j}(x) - Q_{n,i;l,1}(x) \tilde{Q}_{l,1;k,j}(x) \right),$$

$n, k \in \mathbb{N}, i, j = 0, 1,$

where the series converge absolutely and uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, \pi]$.

Proof. From (5.6), we obtain $d = \tilde{d}$ and $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$, then by virtue of (2.4), it yields

$$(5.10) \quad \left| \varphi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}^{(j)}(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C |\rho|^{j-1} \exp(|\tau|x), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

In the same way, we derive that

$$(5.11) \quad \left| \psi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C |\rho|^{j-1} \exp(|\tau|(\pi - x)), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Let $G_\delta^0 = G_\delta \cap \tilde{G}_\delta$, using (2.6)-(2.7), (2.10), (4.1) and (5.11) we arrive at

$$(5.12) \quad \left| \Phi^{(j)}(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\Phi}^{(j)}(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{j-2} \exp(-|\tau|x), \quad j = 0, 1, \lambda \in G_\delta^0.$$

Further, combining (4.2) and (5.3), we see that

$$(5.13) \quad P_{11}(x, \lambda) = 1 + (\varphi(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)) \Phi'(x, \lambda) - (\Phi(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda)) \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda).$$

It follows from (2.8), (2.9), (5.1), (5.3), (5.10), (5.12) and (5.13) that

$$(5.14) \quad |P_{11}(x, \lambda) - 1| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{-1}, \quad |P_{12}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in G_\delta^0.$$

Analogously, we have

$$(5.15) \quad |P_{22}(x, \lambda) - 1| \leq C_\delta |\rho|^{-1}, \quad |P_{21}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta, \quad \lambda \in G_\delta^0.$$

Let real numbers a, b be $a < \min \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{n,i}$, $b > \max |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n,i}|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 0, 1$. Consider closed contour $\Upsilon_N := \partial \Omega_N$ (with counterclockwise circuit) in the λ -plane, where $\Omega_N := \{\lambda : a \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq R_N^2, |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq b\}$. By the standard method (see [7, p.46-70]), using (4.1), (5.2)-(5.4), and Cauchy's integral formula (see [6, IV. §5.]), we obtain the identity

$$(5.16) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_N} \hat{M}(\mu) \tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu) \varphi(x, \mu) d\mu + \varepsilon_N(x, \lambda),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_N(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_N} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) (P_{11}(x, \mu) - 1) + \tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda) P_{12}(x, \mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu.$$

Using (5.14) we acquire

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^\eta}{\partial \lambda^\eta} \varepsilon_N(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad \eta \geq 0$$

uniformly respect to $x \in [0, \pi]$ and λ on bounded sets. Similarly, we have the relation

$$(5.17) \quad \tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu) - D(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_N} \tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \xi) \hat{M}(\xi) D(x, \xi, \mu) d\xi + \varepsilon_N^1(x, \lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^{\eta+j}}{\partial \lambda^\eta \partial \mu^j} \varepsilon_N^1(x, \lambda, \mu) = 0, \quad \eta, j \geq 0$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, \pi]$ and λ, μ on bounded sets. Calculating the integral in (5.16) by the residue theorem (see [6, V. §2.]) we have, in light of (4.4),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_N} \hat{M}(\mu) \tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu) \varphi(x, \mu) d\mu = \sum_{k=0}^N \left(\tilde{A}_{k,0}(x) \varphi_{k,0}(x) - \tilde{A}_{k,1}(x) \varphi_{k,1}(x) \right)$$

for sufficiently large N . Passing to the limit in (5.16) as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{A}_{k,0}(x) \varphi_{k,0}(x) - \tilde{A}_{k,1}(x) \varphi_{k,1}(x) \right).$$

Taking derivative to the both sides of this equation with respect to λ the corresponding number of times and substituting into $\lambda = \lambda_{n,i}$, we arrive at (5.8). Analogously, using the same method on (5.17), it yields

$$\tilde{D}(x, \lambda, \mu) - D(x, \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{p=0}^1 (-1)^p \sum_{l \in S_p} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_{l,p}-1} D_{\eta,0}(x, \lambda_{l,p}, \mu) \tilde{A}_{l+\eta,p}(x, \lambda),$$

and taking the definitions of $Q_{n,i;k,j}(x)$, $\tilde{Q}_{n,i;k,j}(x)$ into account we get (5.9). \square

Note that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for $n > N$, $m_{n,0} = m_{n,1} = 1$. Moreover, an argument similar to the one used in [27, Lemma 1.3.4] shows that the infinite series

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} [M_{n,0} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,0}(x) \varphi_{n,0}(x) - M_{n,1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \varphi_{n,1}(x)]$$

and

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} [M_{n,0} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,0}(x) \varphi_{n,0}(x) - M_{n,1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \varphi_{n,1}(x)]$$

converge absolutely and uniformly on $[0, d]$ and $[d, \pi]$, respectively. Therefore, $l(x) := -2l'_0(x)$ is square integrable on $[0, \pi]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} l_0(x) &:= \sum_{n \in S_0} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_{n,0}-1} \sum_{p=\eta}^{m_{n,0}-1} M_{n+p,0} \tilde{\varphi}_{n+p-\eta,0}(x) \varphi_{n+\eta,0}(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \in S_1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_{n,1}-1} \sum_{p=\eta}^{m_{n,1}-1} M_{n+p,1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n+p-\eta,1}(x) \varphi_{n+\eta,1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n \in S_0, n \leq N} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_{n,0}-1} \sum_{p=\eta}^{m_{n,0}-1} M_{n+p,0} \tilde{\varphi}_{n+p-\eta,0}(x) \varphi_{n+\eta,0}(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \in S_1, n \leq N} \sum_{\eta=0}^{m_{n,1}-1} \sum_{p=\eta}^{m_{n,1}-1} M_{n+p,1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n+p-\eta,1}(x) \varphi_{n+\eta,1}(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} [M_{n,0} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,0}(x) \varphi_{n,0}(x) - M_{n,1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \varphi_{n,1}(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *The following relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= \tilde{q}(x) + l(x), \\ \gamma &= (\beta^{-1} - \beta^3) l_0(d-0) + \tilde{\gamma}, \\ h &= \tilde{h} - l_0(0), H = \tilde{H} + l_0(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The rigorous proof of this lemma is similar to [27, Lemma 1.3.5], [25, Lemma 5]. \square

Remark 2. For each fixed $x \in [0, \pi]$ the relation (5.8) can be considered as a system of linear equations with respect to $\varphi_{n,i}(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 0, 1$. But the series in (5.8) converges only “with brackets”, i.e., the terms in them cannot be dissociated. Therefore, it is inconvenient to use (5.8) as a main equation of the inverse problem. Below we will transfer (5.8) to a linear equation in the Banach space of bounded sequences.

Denote $\omega = \{u | u = (n, i), n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 0, 1\}$. For each fixed $x \in [0, \pi]$ we define the vector

$$\phi(x) = [\phi_u(x)]_{u \in \omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n,0}(x) \\ \phi_{n,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

by the formula

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n,0}(x) \\ \phi_{n,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n & -\chi_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{n,0}(x) \\ \varphi_{n,1}(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\chi_n = \begin{cases} \varsigma_n^{-1}, \varsigma_n \neq 0, \\ 0, \varsigma_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also define a block-matrix

$$H(x) = [H_{u,v}(x)]_{u,v \in \omega} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{n,0;k,0}(x) & H_{n,0;k,1}(x) \\ H_{n,1;k,0}(x) & H_{n,1;k,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad u = (n, i), \quad v = (k, j)$$

by the following formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{n,0;k,0}(x) & H_{n,0;k,1}(x) \\ H_{n,1;k,0}(x) & H_{n,1;k,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n & -\chi_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{n,0;k,0}(x) & Q_{n,0;k,1}(x) \\ Q_{n,1;k,0}(x) & Q_{n,1;k,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varsigma_k & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly we introduce $\tilde{\varphi}_{n,i}(x)$, $\tilde{\phi}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}_{n,i;k,j}(x)$, $\tilde{H}(x)$ by replacing $\varphi_{n,i}(x)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_{n,i}(x)$, and $Q_{n,i;k,j}(x)$ by $\tilde{Q}_{n,i;k,j}(x)$. Using (5.7) we get the estimates

$$(5.19) \quad |\phi_{n,i}(x)| \leq C, \quad |\tilde{\phi}_{n,i}(x)| \leq C,$$

$$|H_{n,i;k,j}(x)| \leq \frac{C\varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}, \quad |\tilde{H}_{n,i;k,j}(x)| \leq \frac{C\varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1}.$$

Consider the Banach space B of bounded sequences $a = [a_u]_{u \in \omega}$ with the norm $\|a\|_B = \sup_{u \in \omega} |a_u|$. It follows from (5.19) that for each fixed $x \in [0, \pi]$ the operators $I + \tilde{H}(x)$ and $I - H(x)$ (here I is the identity operator), acting from B to B , are bounded, and

$$\|H(x)\|_{B \rightarrow B} \leq C \sup_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1} < \infty,$$

$$\|\tilde{H}(x)\|_{B \rightarrow B} \leq C \sup_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varsigma_k}{|\rho_n^1 - \rho_k^1| + 1} < \infty.$$

Theorem 3. For each fixed $x \in [0, \pi]$, the main equation

$$(5.20) \quad \tilde{\phi}(x) = \left(I + \tilde{H}(x) \right) \phi(x)$$

for the vector $\phi(x) \in B$ is uniquely solvable in the Banach space B . Moreover, the operator $\left(I + \tilde{H}(x) \right)^{-1}$ is bounded in B .

Proof. Rewriting (5.8) in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{n,0}(x) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{n,0}(x) \\ \varphi_{n,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{Q}_{n,0;k,0}(x) & -\tilde{Q}_{n,0;k,1}(x) \\ \tilde{Q}_{n,1;k,0}(x) & -\tilde{Q}_{n,1;k,1}(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{k,0}(x) \\ \varphi_{k,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

substituting here (5.18) and taking into account our notations of $Q_{n,i;k,j}(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}_{n,i;k,j}(x)$ we arrive at

$$(5.21) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{n,i}(x) = \phi_{n,i}(x) + \sum_{k,j} \tilde{H}_{n,i;k,j}(x) \phi_{k,j}(x), \quad (n,i), (k,j) \in \omega,$$

which is equivalent to (5.20) and the series in (5.21) converges absolutely and uniformly for $x \in [0, \pi]$. Similarly, by the definitions of $H_{n,i;k,j}(x)$, $\tilde{H}_{n,i;k,j}(x)$, (5.9) becomes

$$\tilde{H}_{n,i;k,j}(x) - H_{n,i;k,j}(x) = \sum_{l,p} \tilde{H}_{n,i;l,p}(x) H_{l,p;k,j}(x), \quad (n,i), (k,j), (l,p) \in \omega,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(I + \tilde{H}(x))(I - H(x)) = I.$$

Replacing L for \tilde{L} , one gets analogously

$$(I - H(x))(I + \tilde{H}(x)) = I.$$

Hence the operator $(I + \tilde{H}(x))^{-1}$ exists, and it is bounded in B . \square

Equation (5.20) is called the *main equation* of the inverse problem. Using the solution of the *main equation* one can construct the function q , the coefficients β , γ of the discontinuity conditions, and the coefficients h , H of the boundary conditions. Thus, we obtain the following algorithm for solving the inverse problem.

Algorithm 1. Suppose the spectral data $\{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given. Then

- (i) calculate M_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by solving the linear systems (4.5);
- (ii) select $\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}(\tilde{q}(x), \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{d})$ satisfies (5.6) and calculate $\tilde{\phi}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}(x)$;
- (iii) choose $\phi(x)$ by solving equation (5.20) and calculate $\varphi_{n,0}(x)$ via (5.18);
- (iv) construct q , γ , h , H , β by Lemma 5.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11601372.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, R. Hryniv, and Y. Mykytyuk, *On spectra of non-self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators*, Selecta Math. 13 (2008), pp. 571–599.
- [2] R.K. Amirov, *On Sturm-Liouville operators with discontinuity conditions inside an interval*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 317 (2006), pp. 163–176.
- [3] R. Bellman and K.L. Cooke, *Differential-difference equations*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1963.
- [4] B.M. Brown, R.A. Peacock, and R. Weikard, *A local borg-marchenko theorem for complex potentials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 148 (2002), pp. 115–131.

- [5] S.A. Buterin, *On inverse spectral problem for non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator on a finite interval*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007), pp. 739–749.
- [6] J.B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable*, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [7] G. Freiling and V.A. Yurko, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and Their Applications*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Huntington, New York, 2001.
- [8] S.Z. Fu, Z.B. Xu, and G.S. Wei, *The interlacing of spectra between continuous and discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problems and its application to inverse problems*, Taiwanese J. Math. 16 (2012), pp. 651–663.
- [9] O.H. Hald, *Discontinuous inverse eigenvalue problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), pp. 539–577.
- [10] M. Horváth and M. Kiss, *Stability of direct and inverse eigenvalue problems: the case of complex potentials*, Inverse Problems 27 (2011), p. 095007.
- [11] B.Y. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions* [English translation], V. Tkachenko (Transl.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.
- [12] M.D. Manafov, *Inverse spectral problems for energy-dependent Sturm-Liouville equations with finitely many point δ -interactions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2016 (2016), pp. 1–12.
- [13] V.A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications* [English translation], A. Iacob (Transl.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1986), original work published 1977.
- [14] M. Marletta and R. Weikard, *Weak stability for an inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with finite spectral data and complex potential*, Inverse Problems 21 (2005), pp. 1275–1290.
- [15] A. Neamaty and Y. Khalili, *The uniqueness theorem for differential pencils with the jump condition in the finite interval*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. 38 (2014), pp. 305–309.
- [16] A.S. Ozkan, *Half-inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with boundary and discontinuity conditions dependent on the spectral parameter*, Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 22 (2014), pp. 848–859.
- [17] A.S. Ozkan and B. Keskin, *Spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operator with boundary and jump conditions linearly dependent on the eigenparameter*, Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 20 (2012), pp. 799–808.
- [18] M. Shahriari, A.J. Akbarfam, and G. Teschl, *Uniqueness for inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with a finite number of transmission conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012), pp. 19–29.
- [19] C.T. Shieh and V.A. Yurko, *Inverse nodal and inverse spectral problems for discontinuous boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 347 (2008), pp. 266–272.
- [20] V. Tkachenko, *Non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operators with multiple spectra*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 134 (2002), pp. 403–414.
- [21] Y.P. Wang, *Inverse problems for discontinuous Sturm-Liouville operators with mixed spectral data*, Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 23 (2015), pp. 1180–1198.
- [22] Y.P. Wang and V.A. Yurko, *On the inverse nodal problems for discontinuous Sturm-Liouville operators*, J. Differential Equations 260 (2016), pp. 4086–8109.
- [23] X.C. Xu and C.F. Yang, *Inverse spectral problems for the Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity*, J. Differential Equations 262 (2017), pp. 3093–3106.

- [24] C.F. Yang, *Inverse problems for the Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuity*, Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 22 (2014), pp. 232–244.
- [25] V.A. Yurko, *Integral transforms connected with discontinuous boundary value problems*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 10 (2000), pp. 141–164.
- [26] Y. Güldü, M. Arslantaş, *Direct and inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operator which has discontinuity conditions and Coulomb potential*, Chin. J. Math. 2014 (2014), Article ID 804383.
- [27] V.A. Yurko, *Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 2002.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300354, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: liuyixuan@tju.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300354, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: glshi@tju.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300354, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: jun.yan@tju.edu.cn